

Improving Your Health and Wellbeing

### NORTHERN IRELAND BREAST SCREENING PROGRAMME

### ANNUAL REPORT & STATISTICAL BULLETIN 2010-2011





August 2012

**QUALITY ASSURANCE REFERENCE CENTRE** 

### Contents

	Page
Summary	4
Introduction	7
Key developments in 2010/11	9
Statistics	10
Number of women screened	12
Uptake	13
Medical Physics Standards	16
Screen to routine recall	20
Screen to assessment	22
Referred for assessment	24
Visits to the assessment clinic	27
Outcome of screening	28
Preoperative diagnosis rate	29
Pathology	30
Total number of cancers detected	32
Invasive cancer detection rate	33
Small invasive cancers	37
Treatment of invasive cancers	41
Benign biopsy rates	42
Repeat surgical operations	44
Screening round length	45

Appendix 1 – The benefits and limitations of screening	48
Appendix 2 – NHS Breast Screening Programme Guidance	49
Appendix 3 – KC 62 data 2010/11 for women aged 50-64	51
Appendix 4 – KC 62 data 2010/11 for women aged 50-70	56

#### **Summary**

This annual report and statistical bulletin describes key issues relating to the Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme and its performance in 2010/11. It compares performance with previous years and with data from the English NHS Breast Screening Programme.

The Quality Assurance Reference Centre (QARC) monitors, and quality assures, the Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme to ensure women have access to a high quality service that meets agreed standards. This function has been strengthened following the publication of a report, in January 2011, into a serious adverse incident in a breast screening unit in England (The Burns Report).

The aim of breast screening is to prevent deaths from breast cancer. Regular breast screening reduces the risk of death from breast cancer. However, it is important that women can make an informed choice about breast screening and are aware of its benefits and limitations (see **Appendix 1**). In keeping with NHS Breast Screening Programme guidance we introduced a formal system to allow women to opt out of the Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme. Women who do so will receive no further invitations to attend. However, they can opt in again, at any time, by contacting their local breast screening unit or their GP.

In 2010/11 a total of 58,419 women aged 50-70 were invited and 44,323 were screened; giving an uptake of 76% (standard > 70%). Uptake is the percentage of women who attend each year, following an invitation. This means that just under a quarter of women who were invited did not take up the offer of screening mammography. The PHA is currently developing an action plan to ensure all eligible women can make an informed choice about breast screening.

Most women who attend for breast screening mammography will be identified as having normal mammograms. 98% of these women received their test results within 2 weeks (standard <u>>90%</u>).

4.2% of women who attended for screening mammography were found to have an abnormality on their mammograms and were referred for further assessment. 96.9% of these women were offered an assessment clinic appointment within 3 weeks (standard  $\geq$  90%). Younger women are more likely to be called back for assessment, but cancer is more likely to be found in older women.

Diagnosis before surgery is made by taking a biopsy at the assessment clinic. 95% of women with cancers detected by screening had the diagnosis confirmed before surgery (standard  $\geq$  80%). The diagnostic accuracy of biopsies taken at assessment clinics is high. 92% of women only required one visit to the assessment clinic to obtain a diagnosis.

A total of 358 cancers were detected in 2010/11. Of these 273 were invasive cancers and 79 were ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). Of the 273 invasive cancers 157 (57%) were less than 15 mm in diameter (small invasive cancers). A proportion of cases of DCIS will eventually become invasive. However, it is not yet possible to identify which ones will, and which won't, become invasive. All women diagnosed with this disease are therefore offered treatment.

6.4 per 1,000 women screened for the first time (aged under 53) were diagnosed with an invasive breast cancer (standard  $\geq$  2.7). The figure for women attending subsequent screening tests was 4.8per 1,000 (standard  $\geq$  3.0).

3.8 per 1,000 women screened for the first time (aged under 53) had a small invasive cancer (standard >1.5). The figure for women attending for subsequent screening tests was 2.7 per 1,000 (standard >1.65).

71.4% of women diagnosed with an invasive cancer had breast conserving surgery. The remainder underwent mastectomy.

The proportion of women who had a surgical operation for what turned out to be benign disease was 1.5 per 1,000 for the prevalent (first) screen (standard < 3.6 per 1,000) and 0.5 per 1,000 for incident (subsequent) screens (standard < 2 per 1,000).

24% of women with invasive cancer required a repeat surgical operation. In addition 21% of women with non-invasive, or micro-invasive, cancers needed repeat surgery.

90.9% of women were offered an appointment for mammography screening within 36 months of their previous normal screen (standard  $\geq$  90%).

These statistics show that the Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme performed well in 2010/11 and met each of the key standards. Comparison with previous years shows the significant improvements that individual breast screening units have made in process measures such as screen to routine recall, screen to assessment and round length. The multidisciplinary teams providing this service in each of the breast screening units have worked hard to achieve these results They are to be congratulated on continuing to provide a high quality breast screening service for women in Northern Ireland

Comparison with statistics for England indicates that there may still be potential for improvement in the invasive cancer diagnosis rate: even though the standard and target were met. However, it is recognised that the prevalence of breast cancer is higher in England than in Northern Ireland.

#### **Dr Adrian Mairs**

Quality Assurance Director NI Breast Screening Programme

#### Ms Clare Hall Information Officer NI Breast Screening Programme

#### Introduction

# Regular breast screening reduces the risk of death from breast cancer

The aim of breast screening is to prevent deaths from breast cancer. Regular mammography reduces mortality from breast cancer by 35% in women aged 50 to 69 who attend for screening. In Northern Ireland eligible<sup>1</sup> women aged 50 – 70 are invited for breast screening every 3 years. Women aged over 70 years are not automatically invited for screening, but are encouraged to make their own appointment by contacting their local screening unit.

There are four breast screening units in Northern Ireland. These are the:

- Eastern Breast Screening Unit at 12-22 Linenhall Street, Belfast (covers the Belfast and South Eastern Trust areas);
- Northern Breast Screening Unit at Antrim Area Hospital (covers most of the Northern Trust area);
- Southern Breast Screening Unit at Lurgan Hospital (covers the Southern Trust area); and
- Western Breast Screening Unit at Altnagelvin Area Hospital (covers the Western Trust, and part of the Northern Trust area).

Figure 1: Locations of Static Screening Units



<sup>1</sup> Women who have had bilateral mastectomy are excluded from the eligible population.

Each unit provides access to screening on mobile breast screening trailers at a variety of locations throughout Northern Ireland.





Eligible women in each GP practice are invited to attend once every three years. Due to this three yearly round of invites around a third of women will be invited for the first time before their 51st birthday, a third before their 52nd birthday and a 3rd before their 53 birthday. All eligible women should be invited before their 53rd birthday. The women who are invited before their 51st birthday are invited in the year they turn 50. Therefore a number of women will be invited for breast screening for the first time when they turn 49.

The Quality Assurance Reference Centre (QARC) is part of the Public Health Agency. It provides the quality assurance function for the three cancer screening programmes (breast, bowel and cervical).

The purpose of quality assurance in the breast screening programme is the:

- maintenance of minimum standards; and
- continuous improvement in the performance of all aspects of the screening programme

in order to ensure that participants have access to a high quality service wherever they reside.

### Key Developments in 2010/11

#### The quality assurance process has been strengthened. A formal process to enable women to opt out of the programme has been established.

In January 2011 an independent external review of a breast screening unit in England was carried out.<sup>2</sup> This followed a serious adverse incident at that unit. The report of that review (The Burns Report) makes a number of recommendations which are relevant to all breast screening units. Units in Northern Ireland have been asked to produce an action plan to ensure they are implemented. The report also made important recommendations relating to quality assurance, which the NI Quality Assurance Reference Centre has implemented or is in the process of implementing. These include:

- Additional scrutiny at QA visits;
- QA visit reports being considered at Board level in Trusts;
- Improved reporting arrangements for interval cancers (breast cancers diagnosed within three years screening mammogram reported as normal); and
- Additional analysis of quality assurance data eg monitoring trends.

It is important that women can make an informed choice about breast screening and are aware of its benefits, as well as its limitations (see **Appendix 1**). In keeping with NHS Breast Screening Programme guidance we introduced a formal system to allow women to opt out of the Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme.<sup>3</sup> If a woman has made an informed decision that she no longer wishes to be invited for breast screening (informed dissent) she can provide the programme with written instruction to remove her name from the list of women invited for breast screening. She will then receive no further invitations to attend. The woman is asked to confirm that she has understood the leaflet *Breast Screening Can Save Lives*. This explains the benefits and disadvantages of breast screening, and the importance of screening in reducing deaths from breast cancer. The woman can restore her name on the screening list, at any time, by contacting her local breast screening unit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Burns FG. An Independent External Review of the Breast Screening Unit at East Lancashire NHS Trust. January 2011. Available at www.elht.nhs.uk/pdf/Burnsreport\_Breastscreening\_ELHTFINALVERSION.pdf <sup>3</sup> NHS Cancer Screening Programmes. Consent to Cancer Screening. Second Edition, 2009. Available at www.cancerscreening.nhs.uk/publications/cs4.pdf

#### **Statistics**

The Quality Assurance Reference Centre regularly monitors the performance of the Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme

The Quality Assurance Reference Centre (QARC) is part of the Public Health Agency (PHA). It calculates the statistics for each of the four breast screening units using standard Korner returns:

**KC62** – This is an annual return made by trusts on: outcome of initial screen, outcome of assessment (including cytology and histology), cancers diagnosed (by size and type) and overall outcome measures (uptake, referral rate, non-invasive cancers, benign biopsy rate, invasive cancer detection rate, referral for cytology/ biopsy, malignant: benign ratio for surgery, early recall rate); by 1st invitation, previous non-attenders, last screen within 5 years, last screen more than 5 years, early recall, self referrals, all women; by age.

KC62 data are obtained from the National Breast Screening System (NBSS). This is the IT system that supports the breast screening programme.

**KC63** – This is an annual return made by trusts on: numbers of eligible women, invited and screened by age, numbers recalled, numbers self or GP referred, and time since most recent screen in 12 month blocks.

In December 2010 an electronic link was established between NBSS and the IT system that supports primary care (NHAIS/Exeter system). This link will allow us to establish better failsafe procedures to ensure that all women who should be invited for breast screening are invited. It will also provide data on the coverage of the programme. Coverage is defined as the proportion of women resident and eligible for screening who have had a screening mammogram at least once in the previous three years.

KC63 data will not be available until December 2013 when 3 year's worth of data will be on the system (as the breast screening programme is a 3 yearly rolling programme).

Women with a date of first offered screening appointment between 01/04/2010 and 31/03/2011 were used to produce this report. Comparative figures for the previous 2 years (5 years for uptake) and from the English NHS Breast Screening Programme are also shown.

These data allow the Quality Assurance Reference Centre to evaluate the quality of the Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme. Performance is compared to the minimum standards and targets set out in NHSBSP Publication No. 60 (Version 2) *Consolidated Guidance on Standards for the NHS Breast Screening Programme*, *April 2005*.<sup>4</sup>

The standards are summarised in **Appendix 2**. It should be noted that these quality assurance data provide information on the performance of the four breast screening units and the programme as a whole: they do not provide information on individual staff performance.

**Minimum standards:** These figures represent the levels of performance which are the minimum acceptable for any breast screening unit. Where the minimum standard is shown "greater than or equal to", any level of performance below that standard should be investigated by the Quality Assurance team. Where the minimum standard is shown as "less than or equal to", any level of performance above that standard should be investigated similarly.

**Targets:** These are the quantitative targets that are considered to be achievable individually by one third of units within the NHSBSP. All units should aim to achieve targets. If the specified cancer detection rates etc are achieved, then the programme will be on target to replicate the mortality reduction achieved in trials.

The KC 62 data for women aged 50 - 64 are shown in **Appendix 3**. The KC 62 data for women aged 50 - 70 are shown in **Appendix 4**.

Before March 2009 women aged 50-64 were invited for breast screening. Since that date invitations have gone to women aged 50-70 (age extension).

English data are taken from the following publications:

- The NHS Breast Screening Programme Annual Review 2011<sup>5</sup>; and
- The NHS Information Centre for Health and Social Care, Breast Screening Programme, England 2010-11 Report.<sup>6</sup>

brst\_scr\_prog\_eng\_2010\_11\_rep.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available at http://www.cancerscreening.nhs.uk/breastscreen/publications/nhsbsp60v2.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Available at www.cancerscreening.nhs.uk/breastscreen/publications/nhsbsp-annualreview2011.pdf <sup>6</sup> Available at http://www.ic.nhs.uk/webfiles/publications/008 Screening/brstscreen1011/

#### **Number of Women Screened**

A total of 58,419 women aged 50-70 were invited and 44,323 screened giving an uptake of 76% in 2010/11. Figure 3 illustrates how many women aged 50-64 were screened by each unit, and in total, over a four year period.

### Figure 3: Number of women aged 50-64 (of invited) screened each year from 2007 – 2011



The Eastern Unit invited and screened a large number of women in 2008/09 in order to meet the round length standard prior to the introduction of age extension in March 2009 (see page 11).

### Uptake

Each year around quarter of women invited for breast screening				
do not take up the offer.				
Most of these women live in Derry/Londonderry and the Greater Belfast Area.				

Uptake measures the percentage of women who attend for breast screening each year, following an invitation. Figure 4 shows the uptake rates over a 6 year period. In 2010/11 each of the 4 breast screening units achieved an uptake of over 70% for women aged 50 - 64, which is the national minimum standard. The figure for Northern Ireland was 76.5%. This compares well with the English figure 73.4%



# Figure 4: Uptake for women aged 50-64 by unit and for Northern Ireland 2005 – 2011

The map below shows the uptake by area, for women aged 50-64, for the 3 year period 2008/09-2010/11. Areas in red have an uptake of less than the minimum standard of 70%. These are largely concentrated in the Belfast Metropolitan Urban Area and Derry/Londonderry.<sup>2</sup>



<sup>2</sup>Kinnear H, et al., The low uptake of breast screening in cities is a major public health issue and may be due to organisational factors: A Census-based record linkage study, The Breast (2011), doi:10.1016/ j.breast.2011.04.009

The uptake for women aged 50 - 70 in 2010/11 is shown in table 1. The overall uptake for this age range in Northern Ireland was 75.8%; higher than uptake rate for England.

Image: Second State           Image:	ke in Women Aged 50 – 70 in 2010/11
--	-------------------------------------

Area	Uptake (%)
Northern Ireland	75.8
Eastern Unit	74.2
Northern Unit	79.8
Southern Unit	76.1
Western Unit	73.6
England	73.4

Non-attendance can be due to organisational and communication issues or individual factors. The PHA, in partnership with other stakeholders, is developing an action plan to ensure all eligible women can make an informed choice about attending for breast screening.

### Each of the mammography x-ray machines meets the standards for image quality and radiation dose

Mammograms are taken using low dose x-rays. The Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme has a number of performance standards relating to:

- the image quality (spatial resolution and low contrast detectability); and
- the radiation dose provided by the x-ray equipment.

These are shown in table 2 below. These parameters are measured during regular medical physics surveys of the mammography equipment.

### Table 2: Mammography Equipment Performance Standards

Parameter	Standard	
Spatial Resolution [line pairs p	≥ 12	
Low Contrast Detectability	6 mm detail	≤ 1.2
(%)	0.5 mm detail	≤ 5
	0.25 mm detail	≤ 8
Mean Glandular dose to Stand	≤ 2.5	

\* Note: The Spatial Resolution standard does not apply to the digital mammography units in Antrim & Craigavon Area Hospitals The following charts indicate the performance of the units in the Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme against the standards. All units meet the applicable standards.



Figure 6: Spatial Resolution of Mammography Images by Machine

Figure 7: Low Contrast Detectability by Mammography Machine – 6 mm Details





Figure 8: Low Contrast Detectability by Mammography Machine – 0.5 mm Details

Figure 9: Low Contrast Detectability by Mammography Machine – 0.25 mm Details





Figure 10: Mean Glandular Dose by Mammography Machine

#### **Screen to Routine Recall**

# 98.0% of women (who had a normal test result) received their results within 2 weeks

Most women who attend for breast screening mammography will be identified as having normal mammograms. Screen to routine recall measures the interval between the date a woman attended for screening (the date her mammograms were taken) and the date her episode is closed on the NBSS (taken as a proxy for the date she is sent her results letter). The minimum standard is for  $\geq$  90% of women to receive their results within two weeks, with a target of 100%.

Figure 11 shows the overall results for Northern Ireland over a 3 year period. 98.0% of women received their results within 2 weeks. Performance against this standard has improved considerably over the past few years.

## Figure 11: Screen to routine recall for Northern Ireland by year from 2008/09 to 2010/11



Figure 12 shows the performance of each unit in 2010/11.



Figure 12: Screen to routine recall by unit in 2010/11

#### **Screen to Assessment**

96.9% of women referred for assessment were offered an appointment within 3 weeks

Around 1 woman in 20 who attends for screening mammography is found to have an abnormality on her mammogram. These women are invited to attend an assessment clinic for further investigations. Most will not have breast cancer.

Screen to assessment measures the interval between a woman's screening mammogram and the date she is first offered an appointment for the assessment clinic. The minimum standard is for  $\geq$  90% of women to be offered an appointment within 3 weeks of attendance for mammography, with a target of 100%.

Figure 13 shows the overall results for Northern Ireland over a 3 year period. Performance has improved considerably over the past 3 years; reaching 96.9% in 2010/11.

## Figure 13: Screen to assessment for Northern Ireland by year from 2008/09 to 2010/11



Figure 14 shows the performance by breast screening unit for 2010/11.





#### **Referred for Assessment**

2,011 women were referred for assessment in 2010/11 – 4.2% of the women screened

The percentage of women who are recalled to an assessment clinic is normally higher in those women who are attending for their first screening mammogram (known as the prevalent screen) than in those attending for subsequent screening mammography (know as incident screens). Table 3 shows the performance by unit. The objective is to minimise the number of women referred for further tests. However, a recall rate that is too low can reduce the number of cancers detected.

#### **Prevalent screen**

The minimum standard for the percentage of women recalled for assessment in the prevalent (first) screen is < 10%, with a target of < 7%. The Northern Ireland figure for the prevalent screen was **8.9%**, which meets the standard.

#### **Incident screen**

The minimum standard for the percentage of women recalled for assessment for incident (subsequent) screens is < 7%, with a target of < 5%. The Northern Ireland figure for incident screens was **2.7%**, which meets the standard (and exceeds the target).

### Table 3: Percentage of women aged 50 – 70 referred for assessment by unit.

Area	Prevalent	Incident	
	%	%	
Eastern	9.3	2.7	
Northern 13.2		3.3	
Southern	6.1	2.7	
Western	5.6	1.9	
Northern Ireland	8.9	2.7	
	Standard < 10%	Standard < 7%	
	Target < 7%	Target < 5%	

The Northern Unit tends to have a high referral rate for the prevalent screen. The rate tends to fluctuate from year to year. It was 9.3 in 2009/10. The unit is aware of this and is monitoring these data.

Of the 81 breast screening units in England 13 did not meet the minimum standard of < 10% in 2010/11.

Table 4 below compares the data for Northern Ireland with each of the English regions (green indicates that the standard and the target have been met; orange indicates that the standard has been met)

#### Table 4: Percentage of Women Aged 50-64 Recalled to Assessment by Region.

NHS BREAST SCREENING PRO- GRAMME INCLUDING NORTHERN IRELAND: % RECALLED TO ASSESS- MENT BY REGION PREVALENT SCREEN AGE 50 – 64			NHS BREAST SCRE GRAMME INCLUDING IRELAND: % RECAL SESSMENT BY INCIDENT SCREEN
2010 - 2011			2010 - 20
Standard <10% Targe	t <7%		Standard <7% Ta
North East	5.7		West Midlands
East Midlands	6.4		East Midlands
Yorkshire & Humber	6.6		North East
West Midlands	6.8		East of England
South East Coast	7.5		Yorkshire & Humber
England	7.7		Northern Ireland
East of England	7.7		England
London	8.0		South East Coast
North West	8.5		London
South Central	8.6		South Central
Northern Ireland	8.9		North West
South West	9.6		South West

ENING PRO-G NORTHERN LED TO AS-REGION AGE 50 – 64

#### 11

#### arget <5%

25	

2.4

2.4

2.4 2.5 2.6 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8

2.8 3.1 3.5

#### By age band

Table 5 shows the percentage of women who are returned to routine recall after screening; and the corresponding percentage sent for further investigation at an assessment clinic, split by age bands.

# Table 5: Percentage of women invited aged 50–70 returned toroutine recall & referred for assessment by age band

			Referred to
		Routine Recall	Assessment
Age Group	No. Screened	(%)	(%)
		0	0
<= 44	0	(0)	(0)
		944	89
45 - 49	1033	(91)	(9)
		7341	663
50 - 52	8004	(92)	(8)
		4847	170
53 - 54	5017	(96)	(4)
		10700	335
55 - 59	11035	(97)	(3)
		10324	319
60 - 64	10643	(97)	(3)
		8473	294
65 - 69	8767	(97)	(3)
		820	37
70	857	(95)	(5)
		23	0
71 - 74	23	(100)	0
		0	Ö
>=75	0	(0)	(0)
		42505	1818
Target Group		(	
(50-70)	44323	(96)	(4)
		43472	1907
Total all ages	45379	(96)	(4)
		33212	1487
Age group 50 - 64	34699	(96)	(4)

### Visits to the Assessment Clinic

92% of women only required one visit to the assessment clinic to achieve a definitive diagnosis

The number of assessment clinic visits required to achieve a definitive diagnosis should be kept to a minimum, with no more than 2 for interventional procedures such as cytology and/or core biopsy. The table below shows how Northern Ireland compares with other parts of the UK.

Table 6 shows that 92% of women in Northern Ireland, who need fine needle aspiration cytology and/or a core biopsy, only require a single visit to the assessment clinic. This is close to the UK average of 93%.

Table 6:	Number of visits f	or cytology/core	biopsy for all	l cancers
UK data	for 2010/11			

	0 (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3+ (%)	Total (%)	Repeat (2+) visit for core/cyt (%)
Eastern	1	133	8	0	142	8
Unit	(0)	(94)	(6)	(0)	(100)	(6)
Northern	0	78	11	2	91	13
Unit	(0)	(86)	(12)	(2)	(100)	(14)
Southern	0	62	8	0	70	8
Unit	(0)	(89)	(11)	(0)	(100)	(11)
Western	0	55	0	0	55	0
Unit	(0)	(100)	(0)	(0)	(100)	(0)
Northern	1	328	27	2	358	29
Ireland	(0)	(92)	(8)	(1)	(100)	(8)
UK	23	14928	1138	42	16131	1180
	(0)	(93)	(7)	(0)	(100)	(7)

### **Outcomes of Screening**

### Younger women are more likely to be called back for assessment, but cancer is more likely to be found in older women

Figure 15 shows the outcomes of screening by age bands. Younger women are more likely to be called back to an assessment clinic for further testing. The result of this further testing is, for most women, reassurance. These women are returned to routine recall and invited for routine screening again in 3 year's time ("RR from assessment" on the graph). Note that the y-axis of the graph starts at 90%; as more than 90% of all women screened have normal mammograms. These women are returned to routine recall ie invited for routine screening again in 3 year's time ("RR from assessment").



#### Figure 15: Outcome of Breast Screening by Age Band 2010/11

Early re-screen involves bringing a woman (who has attended an assessment clinic) back for screening mammography sooner than the normal three yearly screening interval. This is a rare event and these cases will be audited annually.

### **Preoperative Diagnosis Rate**

95.0% of women with cancers detected by screening had the diagnosis confirmed before surgery

The pre-operative diagnosis rate measures the percentage of screen detected cancers where the diagnosis was established prior to surgery. Diagnosis before surgery is made by taking a biopsy at the assessment clinic (usually by core biopsy, but increasingly by vacuum assisted biopsy). Some women need to have a surgical biopsy to establish the diagnosis. This can be because the diagnosis is difficult to establish. The minimum standard is  $\geq$ 80% of cancers should be diagnosed before surgery, with a target of  $\geq$ 90%.

Figure 16 shows each unit's performance over a 3 year period. The figure for women aged 50-70 in Northern Ireland was 95.0% in 2010/11. It has remained around 95% for a number of years. The figures for 2008/09 relate to women aged 50 - 64, as this was before the programme was extended to automatically invite older women.





The diagnostic accuracy of biopsies taken at assessment clinics is high

The breast biopsies taken at the assessment clinic are examined and categorised by a pathologist as:

B1 or C 1 – Normal
B2 or C 2 – Benign disease
B3 or C 3 – Uncertain malignant potential
B4 or C 4 – Suspicious
B5 or C 5 – Malignant

The letter B refers to core biopsy or mammotomy and C refers to fine needle aspiration cytology.

The assessment clinic biopsy results are subsequently compared with the definitive diagnosis of tissue removed during surgery (further histology). The table shows the results for Northern Ireland for 2010/11.

			Assessm	ent clini	ic biopsy	results	
		B or C5	B or C4	B or C3	B or C2	B or C1	Total
βV	Malignant	339	6	8	0	1	354**
	Invasive	266	1	1	0	0	268
his	Non-invasive	73	5	7	0	1	<mark>8</mark> 6
ther	Benign	3	3	26	1	2	35
Fur	No Further Histology	4*	0	18	438	56	516
	Total B or C Results	346	9	52	439	59	905

# Table 7: Comparison of assessment clinic biopsy result with final diagnosis (further histology)

\* These are considered to be cancers.

\*\*This figure differs from the total number of cancers (358) in the next section due to the way the pathology QA data are processed.

### Absolute sensitivity = 95.8%

This is the percentage of all the cancers diagnosed  $(354+4^*)$  that were categorised as being malignant (B or C 5) on the assessment clinic biopsy biopsy  $(339+4^*)$ . As can be seen from the table some cancers were initially categorised as normal, uncertain or suspicious.

The minimum threshold is >70% and the preferred threshold is >80%.

#### **Complete sensitivity = 99.7%**

This is the percentage of all cancers diagnosed  $(354+4^*)$  that were categorised as uncertain (B or C 3), suspicious (B or C 4) or malignant (B or C 5)  $(339+4^*+6+8)$ .

The minimum threshold is >80 and the preferred threshold is >90.

#### Positive predictive value = 99.1%

This measures the likelihood of having a final diagnosis of cancer  $(339+4^*)$  if the assessment clinic biopsy is categorised as malignant (B or C 5) (346).

The minimum threshold is > 99 and the preferred threshold is > 99.5.

### **Total Number of Cancers Detected**

### 273 invasive cancers were detected in 2010/11 – of these 154 were less than 15 mm in diameter

A total of 358 cancers were detected in 2010/11. Of these:

- 273 were invasive cancers;
- 79 were ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS);
- 5 were micro invasive; and
- 1 was invasive status unknown.

A proportion of cases of DCIS will eventually become invasive. However, it is not yet possible to identify which ones will and which won't. All women diagnosed with this disease are therefore offered treatment (surgery with or without radiotherapy).

Of the 273 invasive cancers 157 (57%) were under 15 mm in diameter. These a known as small invasive cancers and they are usually around 55% of the total invasive cancer rate. The rate for the UK in 2010/11 was 53%.

The over all cancer detection rate for the 50-70 aged group is 7.4 per 1,000 screened. This compares well with the English figure of 7.6 per 1,000 screened.

6.4 per 1,000 women screened for the first time (aged under
53) were diagnosed with an invasive breast cancer. The figure for women attending for subsequent screening tests was 4.8 per 1,000

This measures the number of invasive cancers detected per 1,000 eligible women who were invited and screened.

### **Prevalent Screen**

The minimum national standard for the invasive cancer detection rate is  $\geq$ 2.7 per 1,000 women for the prevalent (first) screen; with a target rate of  $\geq$ 3.6 per 1,000 women screened.

Figure 17 shows that each of the units exceeded the target figure for the prevalent (first) screen. The rate for Northern Ireland was 6.4 per 1,000 women screened for the first time. The comparative English rate was 5.0 per 1,000 in 2010/11.

# Figure 17: Invasive cancer detection rates (prevalent screen) by unit & for Northern Ireland 2008-2011



The invasive cancer detection rate (number of invasive cancers detected per 1,000 women screened) for each breast screening unit in 2010/11 is shown in figure 18. The Eastern and Northern units have the highest invasive cancer detection rate. The lowest invasive cancer detection rate is in the Southern Unit. However, there is no statistically significant difference in the invasive cancer detection rate between units. The minimum standard for the invasive cancer detection rate is 2.7 per 1000 population. The Eastern and Northern units achieved a rate statistically higher rate than the standard during 2010/11. In contrast, the rates for the Western and Southern units were not statistically significantly higher than the standard. This must be interpreted in the context of the small numbers involved. It should also be noted that figure 17 shows both units have been consistently above the standard for the past 3 years.

## Figure 18: Prevalent invasive cancer detection rate by unit with confidence intervals 2010/11



#### **Incident Screen**

The minimum national standard for the invasive cancer detection rate is  $\geq$  3.0 per 1,000 women for incident (subsequent) screens; with a target of  $\geq$  4.0 per 1,000 women screened.

Figure 19 shows that each of the units either met, or exceeded, the target for women aged 50-64. The figure for Northern Ireland was 4.5 which exceeds the target. The comparative English rate was 5.5 per 1,000 in 2010/11.

# Figure 19: Invasive cancer detection rates (incident screen) for women aged 50-64 by unit & for Northern Ireland 2008-2011



Table 8 shows the invasive cancer detection rates for the incident (subsequent) screens for women age 50-70 in 2010/11.

Area	Invasive Cancers per 1,000 women screened	
Eastern Unit	5.0	
Northern Unit	5.4	Minimum standard $\geq$
Southern Unit	4.2	5.0
Western Unit	3.9	Target ≥ 4.0
Northern Ireland	4.8	
England	6.0	]

# Table 8: Invasive cancer detection rates (incident) by area for women age 50-70 in 2010/11

These rates are shown again in figure 20. The highest incident invasive cancer detection rates were observed in the Eastern and Northern Units. The Southern and Western Units had similar rates. The invasive incident cancer detection rates were not statistically different between units. The rates in the Eastern and Northern units were statistically higher than the standard. The rates in the Southern and Western Units was not statistically higher than the standard but this must be interpreted in the context of the small numbers involved.

## Figure 20: Incident invasive cancer detection rate by unit with confidence intervals 2010/11



#### **Small Invasive Cancers**

3.8 per 1,000 women screened for the first time (aged under53) had a small invasive cancer. The figure for women attending for subsequent screening tests was 2.7 per 1,000

The main aim of breast screening is to detect small invasive breast cancers at a time in their natural history when treatment is more likely to reduce the risk of death from the disease. Small cancers are defined as being less than 15 mm in their maximum diameter.

Figure 21 shows the small invasive cancer detection rates for the prevalent (first) screen over a three year period. The Northern Ireland programme as a whole exceeded the minimum standard (>1.5 per 1,000 women screened) and the target figure of  $\geq$ 2.00 per 1,000.

Rates for the individual units tend to fluctuate from year to year due to small numbers.



# Figure 21: Small invasive cancer detection rate (prevalent screen) by unit and for N.I 2008-2011

In figure 22 indicates the small invasive cancer detection rate for the prevalent screen for each breast screening unit in 2010/11. The red line is the minimum standard (1.5). The rates were not statically different between units. The rates in the Eastern and Northern units were statically higher than the standard. The rates in the Southern and Western units are not statically higher than the standard but this must be interpreted with caution due to the very small numbers.

### Figure 22: Prevalent small invasive cancer detection rate by unit with confidence intervals 2010/11



The small invasive cancer rate for the incident (subsequent) screens is shown in figure 23. Again the Northern Ireland programme as a whole exceeded the minimum standard (>1.65 per 1,000) and the target of  $\geq$  2.2 per 1,000 women screened.

# Figure 23: Small invasive cancer detection rates (incident screen) for women aged 50-64 by unit & for NI 2008 -2011



Table 9 shows the small invasive cancer detection rates for the incident (subsequent) screens for women age 50-70 in 2010/11. The figure for Northern Ireland exceeds the target, but is lower that the average for England.

Table 9:	Small invas	ive cancer	<sup>r</sup> detection	rates	(incident)	by area
for wom	en age 50-70	in 2010/1 <sup>-</sup>	1			

Area	Small Invasive Can- cers per 1,000 women screened	
Eastern Unit	2.7	
Northern Unit	3.3	Minimum standard >
Southern Unit	2.2	1.00
Western Unit	2.9	Target ≥ 2.2
Northern Ireland	2.8	
England	3.1	

In figure 24 indicates the small invasive cancer detection rate for the incident screen for each breast screening unit in 2010/11. The red line is the minimum standard (1.65). The rates were not statically different between the units. The rates in the Eastern, Northern and Western units were statically higher than the minimum standard. The rate in the Southern unit was not statically higher, but again this must be interrupted with cautiondue to the very small numbers involved.

## Figure 24: Incident small invasive cancer detection rate by unit with confidence intervals 2010/11



### **Treatment of Invasive Cancers**

# 71.4% of women diagnosed with an invasive cancer had breast conserving surgery

Of the 273 invasive cancers detected by the Northern Ireland Breast Screening Programme in 2010/11, 195 (71.4%) were treated using breast conservation surgery, while 74 (27.1%) were treated by mastectomy (4 had no surgery). This can be due to patient choice or because the patient is too unwell for surgery. Figure 25 shows the percentages by screening unit. Figures for the same year, for the whole of the UK, show that 76.5% of women underwent conservation surgery and 22% had a mastectomy (1.5% had no surgery). Figure 26 shows the proportion of women treated by different methods in Northern Ireland over the past 3 years.









#### **Benign Biopsy Rates**

The proportion of women who had a surgical operation for what turned out to be benign disease was 1.5 per 1,000 screened for the prevalent (first) screen and 0.5 for incident (subsequent)

This is a measure of the number of women per 1,000 women screened who had surgery for benign breast disease. The aim is to keep the rate as low as possible. However, with some lesions (eg fibroadenomas) the patient may choose to have surgery to remove a lump, even though it has been diagnosed as benign at the assessment clinic. In addition radial scars (a star shaped thickening of breast tissue which shows up on mammograms) are removed due to their association with tubular carcinoma of the breast; even though they are intrinsically benign.

The benign biopsy rates for the prevalent (first) and incident (subsequent) screening rounds over a three year period are shown in figures 27 and 28. For the prevalent screen each of the units meets the minimum standard (< 3.6 per 1,000). All except the Southern Unit meet the target figure of < 1.8.

# Figure 27: Benign biopsy rate for the prevalent (first screen) 2008/09-20010/11



For the incident screen each of the units meets the minimum standard (< 2.0 per 1,000) and all meet the target figure of <1.0.

# Figure 28: Benign biopsy rate for the incident (subsequent screens) 2007/08-2010/11 in women aged 50 - 64



The table below shows the benign biopsy rates for the incident (subsequent) screens for women age 50-70 in 2010/11. Each of the units meets the target figure and the figure for Northern Ireland (0.5) per 1,000 compares favourably with the figure for England (0.4).

aged 50 – 70 by unit a	d in 2010/11	
Area	Benign biopsy rate incident	
Fastern	0.6	

# Table 10: Benign biopsy rates for incident screens in womenaged 50 – 70 by unit and for Northern Ireland in 2010/11

Eastern	0.6	
Northern	0.5	Minimum standard
Southern	0.6	<2.0
Western	0.0	Target <1.0
Northern Ireland	0.5	
England	0.4	

#### **Repeat Surgical Operations**

24% of women with invasive cancer required a repeat surgical operation.

Most women diagnosed with breast cancer by the Northern Ireland Screening Programme require a single surgical operation to remove the disease. Some women need repeat surgery eg to ensure complete removal of the cancer following the initial pathology report. However, the objective is to minimise the number of therapeutic operations.

Table 11 below shows that the reoperation rate for women with invasive cancer was 24% in Northern Ireland. This compares favourably with other parts of the UK and is equivalent to the UK average.

The reoperation rate for women with non-invasive, or micro-invasive, cancers is 21%, which is lower than the UK average of 28%.

Table 11: Repeat operations of surgically treated invas	sive and
non/micro-invasive cancers	

	lr	nvasive		Non/micro invasive			
	Total	Re-op	%	Total	Re-op	%	
Eastern Unit	108	26	24	32	8	25	
Northern Unit	67	17	25	22	6	27	
Southern Unit	53	17	32	17	4	23	
Western Unit	41	4	10	13	0	0	
Northern Ireland	269	64	24	84	18	21	
UK	13994	3379	24	3575	1007	28	

### **Screening Round Length**

90.9% of women were offered an appointment for mammography screening within 36 months of their previous normal screen

The screening round length is the interval between each offered invitation for screening mammography. The NHS Breast Screening Guidance states that, to ensure women are recalled for screening at appropriate intervals, the percentage of eligible women whose first offered appointment is within 36 months of their previous screen should be 90% or more.

Measurement of screening round length provides an indicator of the efficiency with which a screening programme is managed. The long-term effectiveness of the programme is dependent on women in the target age group continuing to be screened at regular intervals.

Figure 29 shows the percentage of women screened within 36 months and within 38 months.



Figure 29: Screening round length by quarter for Northern Ireland 2010/11

Figure 30 shows the round length for Northern Ireland over the three year period 2008-2011. The figure for the year 2010/11 was 90.9% which meets the standard of  $\geq$  90%.

The low figure for 2008/09 was the result of screening being suspended in the Northern Breast Screening Unit for nine months from October 2005 to August 2006. This followed a serious adverse incident. When screening was reintroduced it was then at a reduced capacity for several months. This had a major impact on the round length over the following three years. Getting the round length back to standard required a considerable amount of extra work from staff in the unit, as well as support from other units.



Figure 30: Northern Ireland round length 2008—2011

Figure 31 shows the cumulative round length figures for April 2010 to March 2011 by breast screening unit. It shows that more than 99% of women were offered an appointment for mammography within 38 months of their previous normal screen.

## Figure 31: Cumulative Round Length Figures for April 2010 to March 2011 by Breast Screening Unit



#### **APPENDIX 1**

#### The benefits and limitations of screening

#### **Benefits**

The main benefit of the breast screening programme is the reduction in mortality from breast cancer. For every 400 women screened regularly by the breast screening programme, over a 10 year period, one woman fewer will die from breast cancer than would have died without screening.

The cancers detected in screened women are smaller and are less likely to be treated by mastectomy, or to require chemotherapy.

#### Limitations

Screening mammography is not a diagnostic test and further diagnostic testing is required to establish the diagnosis. Screening tests sort a population of people into two groups – those who might have the disease being looked for and those who probably don't. As with other screening programmes, in breast screening there are false negative and false positive screening test results. The sensitivity of the programme is estimated to be around 85%. This is the proportion of the screened population that has the disease and tests positive. The specificity is between 82% and 97%. This is the proportion of the screened population which does not have the disease and tests negative.

False negative test result – some cancers don't show up on mammography and some cancers are not identified on screening, even by expert film readers.

False positive test result – some women are recalled for assessment because the mammogram looks abnormal on screening, but following further examination are told they don't have breast cancer. Further examination involves clinical examination and additional imaging. For some women, it may also involve a core biopsy. False positive results cause significant anxiety.

Overdiagnosis and overtreatment – screened women are slightly more likely than unscreened women to be diagnosed with breast cancer. Some of the cancers detected by screening may never have been found during the woman's lifetime. These will include cases of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). A proportion of cases of DCIS will eventually become invasive. However, it is not yet possible to identify which ones will and which won't. All women diagnosed with this disease are therefore offered treatment (surgery with or without radiotherapy).

Exposure to radiation – mammography uses very low dose X-rays and the breasts are exposed to a small amount of radiation. The radiation exposure involved is about the same as the background radiation exposure during a flight to Australia and back.

### **APPENDIX 2**

### Consolidated Guidance on Standards for the NHS Breast Screening Programme 50-64

Summary of KC62 source tables and	d age groups to be used in the calculation of	standards (50–64)		
Objective	Criteria	Calculation	Minimum standard	Target
1. To maximise the number	The percentage of eligible women who	Tables: A, B, C1, C2	≥ 70% of invited women to	80%
of eligible women who	attend for screening	Age: 50-64	attend for screening	
attend for screening*†				
2. To maximise the number	(a) The rate of invasive cancers detected	Table: A	Prevalent screen ≥ 2.7 per 1000	Prevalent screen ≥ 3.6 per 1000
of cancers detected*†	in eligible women invited and screened	Age: 50-52		
		Table: C1	Incident screen ≥ 3.0 per 1000	Incident screen ≥ 4.2 per 1000
		Age: 53-64		
	(b) The rate of cancers detected which	Table: A	Prevalent screen ≥ 0.4 per 1000 to ≤ 0.9	
	are in situ carcinoma	Age: 50-52	per 1000	
		Table: C1	Incident screen ≥ 0.5 per 1000 to ≤ 1.0 per	
		Age: 53-64	1000	
	(c) SDR	Tables: A and B	Prevalent screen ≥ 0.75	Prevalent screen ≥ 1.0
		Age: 50-64		
		Table: C1	Incident screen ≥ 0.75	Incident screen ≥ 1.0
		Age: 50-64		
		Tables: A, B, C1	Overall ≥ 0.75	Overall ≥ 1.0
		Age: 50-64		
3. To maximise the number	The rate of invasive cancers less than	Table: A	Prevalent screen ≥ 1.5 per 1000	Prevalent screen ≥ 2.0 per 1000
of small invasive cancers	15 mm in diameter detected in eligible	Age: 50-52		
detected*	women invited and screened	Table: C1	Incident screen ≥ 1.6 per 1000	Incident screen ≥ 2.2 per 1000
		Age: 53-64		
7. To minimise the number	(a) The percentage of women who are	Table: A	Prevalent screen < 10%	Prevalent screen < 7%
of women screened who are	referred for assessment	Age: 50-52		
referred for further tests*t‡		Table: C1	Incident screen < 7%	Incident screen < 5%
		Age: 53-64		
	(b) The percentage of women screened	Table: T	< 1.0%	≤ 0.25%
	who are placed on short-term recall	Age: 50-64		
8. To ensure that the majority	The percentage of women who have a	Table: T	≥ 80%	≥ 90%
of cancers, both palpable and	non-operative diagnosis of cancer by	Age: 50-64		
impalpable, receive a nonoperative	ve cytology or needle histology after a			
tissue diagnosis of cancer*	maximum of two visits			
9. To minimise the number	The rate of benign biopsies	Table: A	Prevalent screen < 3.6 per 1000	Prevalent screen < 1.8 per 1000
of unnecessary operative		Age: 50-52		
procedures		Table: C1	Incident screen < 2.0 per 1000	Incident screen < 1.0 per 1000
		Age: 53-64		

Summary of KC62 source tables and	d age groups to be used in the calculation of	standards (50–70)		
Objective	Criteria	Calculation	Minimum standard	Target
1. To maximise the number	The percentage of eligible women who	Tables: A, B, C1, C2	> 70% of invited women to	80%
of eligible women who	attend for screening	Age: 50-70	attend for screening	
attend for screening*t				
2. To maximise the number	(a) The rate of invasive cancers detected	Table: A	Prevalent screen ≥ 2.7 per 1000	Prevalent screen ≥ 3.6 per 1000
of cancers detected*t	in eligible women invited and screened	Age: 50-52		
		Table: C1	Incident screen ≥ 3.1 per 1000	Incident screen ≥ 4.2 per 1000
		Age: 53-70		
	(b) The rate of cancers detected which	Table: A	Prevalent screen ≥ 0.4 per 1000	
	are in situ carcinoma	Age: 50-52		
		Table: C1	Incident screen ≥ 0.5 per 1000	
		Age: 53-70		
	(c) SDR	Tables: A and B	Prevalent screen ≥ 0.85	Prevalent screen ≥ 1.0
		Age: 50-70		
		Table: C1	Incident screen ≥ 0.85	Incident screen ≥ 1.0
		Age: 50-70		
		Tables: A, B, C1	Overall ≥ 0.85	Overall ≥ 1.0
		Age: 50-70		
3. To maximise the number	The rate of invasive cancers less than	Table: A	Prevalent screen ≥ 1.5 per 1000	Prevalent screen ≥ 2.0 per 1000
of small invasive cancers	15 mm in diameter detected in eligible	Age: 50-52		
detected*	women invited and screened	Table: C1	Incident screen ≥ 1.7 per 1000	Incident screen ≥ 2.3 per 1000
		Age: 53-70		
7. To minimise the number	(a) The percentage of women who are	Table: A	Prevalent screen < 10%	Prevalent screen < 7%
of women screened who are	referred for assessment	Age: 50-52		
referred for further tests*t‡		Table: C1	Incident screen < 7%	Incident screen < 5%
		Age: 53-70		
	(b) The percentage of women screened	Table: T	< 0.5%	≤ 0.25%
	who are placed on short-term recall	Age: 50-70		
8. To ensure that the majority	The percentage of women who have a	Table: T	≥ 80%	≥ 90%
of cancers, both palpable and	non-operative diagnosis of cancer by	Age: 50-70		
impalpable, receive a nonoperative	e cytology or needle histology after a			
tissue diagnosis of cancer*	maximum of two visits			
9. To minimise the number	The rate of benign biopsies	Table: A	Prevalent screen < 3.6 per 1000	Prevalent screen < 1.8 per 1000
of unnecessary operative		Age: 50-52		
procedures		Table: C1	Incident screen < 2.0 per 1000	Incident screen < 1.0 per 1000
		Age: 53-70		

# Consolidated Guidance on Standards for the NHS Breast Screening Programme 50-70

### **APPENDIX 3**

#### Northern Ireland Breast Screening Service KC62 Data 2010/11 Early Total Inv. Ca Activity Data Invited Screened Assessed Benign DCIS Inv. Ca Recall < 15mm Cancers Prevalent (A&B) 17894 9908 857 83 62 37 17 16 21 41947 35471 254 197 Incident (C1&C2) 1050 16 15 56 111 All Ages 2 Early recalls 19 19 19 0 0 3 1 2 Self/GP referrals 6 0 1445 85 1 1 18 6 12 273 Total 59860 46843 2011 34 32 358 84 156 Prevalent (A:50-52 only) 7172 13 27 9618 637 11 61 15 46 Incident (C1:53-64 only) 26772 23930 5 14 142 54 659 33 108 50-64 2 11 0 0 2 2 Early recalls 11 11 0 Self/GP referrals 0 691 0 7 3 4 0 46 1 Total 36401 31804 1353 18 26 212 51 160 83 Performance against National Standards National Standards Routine Screen Women aged 50 - 64 2008/09 2009/10 2010/11 Minimum Target Prevalent (A) 73.1 73.9 74.6 >70% 80% Uptake % 89.4 Incident (C1) 88.0 88.7 Overall (A-C2) 73.9 75.4 76.5 Technical recall/repeats% <3% <2% Overall 2.1 1.9 1.5 <10% <7% Prevalent 7.6 8.9 8.2 Recall to Assessment % <7% 2.8 <5% Incident 2.8 2.5 Early Recall % 0.04 0.06 <1% <0.25% Overall 0.08 1.5 <3.6 <1.8 Prevalent 1.5 1.5 Benign open biopsy rate per 1000 women 0.3 <2.0 <1.0 Incident 0.5 0.6 >0.4 NA Prevalent 1.6 1.72.1 DCIS per 1000 women screened <u>>0.5</u> 14 NA 1.4 1.0 Incident 5.8 6.4 <u>≥</u>2.7 <u>≥3.6</u> Prevalent 5.3 Invasive cancers per 1000 women screened 5.9 4.5 4.5 >3.0 >4.0 Incident 2.4 2.7 3.8 >1.5 >2.0 Prevalent Invasive cancers <15mm per 1000 women >1.65 screened 2.7 2.8 2.3 <u>></u>2.2 Incident Pre-operative diagnosis rate % 95.3 95.6 95.0 <u>>80%</u> <u>>90%</u> Overall Prevalent 1.33 1.53 1.62 Standardised Detection Ratios Invasive 1.47 1.13 ≥1.00 Incident 1.13 ≥1.4 cancers (annual - all sizes) Overall 1.24 1.26 1.43 Standardised Detection Ratios Invasive Overall 1.23 1.24 1.21 ≥1.0 ≥1.4 cancers < 15mm (3 yr average) Prevalent 1.42 1.45 1.49 Rolling three year Standardised Detection Incident 1.31 1.25 1.25 ≥1.0 ≥1.4 Ratios Invasive cancers (all sizes) 1.31 Overall 1.34 1.31 ≥90% first offered Round Length ≤ 36 months Overall 95.8 41.8 90.9 100% appts within 36 < 38 months Overall 55.8 98.7 99.3 months Screening to Results - (Date of screen) ≥90% within 2 weeks 100% 83.2 96.6 98.0 100% Screening to Assessment (DoFOA) 84.7 94.4 96.9 ≥90% within 3 weeks

#### KC 62 Data 2010/11 for women aged 50-64

Belfast Health & Soci			Social C	are Tru	ist Bre	east Sc	reening	servi	се	
			KC6	2 Data	2010/*	11				
	Activity Data	Invited	Screened	Assessed	Early Recall	Benign	Total Cancers	DCIS	Inv. Ca	Inv. Ca < 15mm
	Prevalent (A&B)	6587	3460	323	12	5	32	7	25	13
	Incident (C1&C2)	14882	12464	382	12	6	98	20	77	41
All Ages	Early recalls	14	14	14	0	0	2	1	1	1
	Self/GP referrals	0	<mark>686</mark>	42	0	0	11	4	7	5
	Total	21483	16624	761	24	11	143	32	110	60
	Prevalent (A:50-52 only)	3418	2522	234	11	3	25	6	19	10
	Incident (C1:53-64 only)	9387	8384	236	3	6	58	12	45	22
50-64	Early recalls	8	8	8	0	0	1	0	1	1
	Self/GP referrals	0	311	21	0	0	2	1	1	0
Total 12813		11225	499	14	9	86	19	66	33	
Performance against National Standards								National S	standards	
Routine Screen Women aged 50 - 64				2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	Minir	num	Tar	get
Prevalent (A)			69.9	68.9	73.8					
Uptake %		Incident (C1)	85.9	86.8	89.3	>70	%	80%		
			Overall (A-C2)	69.6	70.4	74.9				
Technical recall/repeats% Ov			Overall	2.7	2.7	1.5	<3	%	<2%	
Recall to Assessment %		Prevalent	8.3	9.6	9.3	<10	)%	<7%		
Recall to Assessment %		Incident	2.3	1.9	2.8	<7%		<5%		
Early Recall %		Overall	0.14	0.1	0.13	<1%		<u>&lt;</u> 0.25%		
		Prevalent	0.7	1.8	1.2	<3.6		<1.8		
Benign ope	en biopsy rate per 1000 w	/omen	Incident	0.5	0.2	0.7	<2.0		<1.0	
0010	1000		Prevalent	1.4	1.4	2.4	<u>≥</u> 0.4		NA	
DUIS per	1000 women screened		Incident	1.1	1.1	1.4	<u>≥</u> 0.5		NA	
	1000		Prevalent	6	5.7	7.5	<u>&gt;</u> 2.7		<u>≥</u> 3.6	
invasive ca	incers per 1000 women s	creened	Incident	6.4	4.4	5.4	≥3.0		<u>≥</u> 4.0	
Invasive ca	ancers <15mm per 1000 v	vomen	Prevalent	3	2.5	4.0	>1.5		<u>≥</u> 2.0	
screened			Incident	3.2	2.6	2.6	>1.65			
Pre-operat	ive diagnosis rate %		Overall	96	93.5	97.9	<u>&gt;</u> 80%		<u>&gt;</u> 9	0%
			Prevalent	1.48	1.7	1.91				
Standardis	ed Detection Ratios Invas	sive	Incident	1.58	1.1	1.34	<u>≥</u> 1.	00	≥1	.4
calicers (a	innual - an sizes)		Overall	1.55	1.2	1.49				
Standardis cancers <	ed Detection Ratios Invas 15mm (3 yr average)	sive	Overall	1.3	1.3	1.34	≥1	.0	≥́	1.4
			Prevalent	1.64	1.5	1.66				
Rolling three	ee year Standardised Det	ection	Incident	1.37	1.3	1.36	≥1	.0	≥1	.4
Ratios inva	asive cancers (all sizes)		Overall	1.45	1.4	1.44			_	
Round Len	ngth <u>&lt;</u> 1	36 months	Overall	13.9	96.3	99.1	≥90% firs	t offered		
	<u> </u>	38 months	Overall	35.6	99.3	99.3	appts wi mon	thin 36 ths	10	0%
Screening	to Results - (Date of scre	en)		98.9	99.3	99.0	≥90% withi	n 2 weeks	10	0%
Screening	to Assessment (DoFOA)			86.5	95.3	96.9	<u>≥</u> 90% withi	n 3 weeks	10	0%

Northern Hea			lth & Soc	cial Care	Trust	Scree	ning Se	rvice		
			KC	62 Data	2010/1	1				
	Activity Data	Screened	Assessed	Early Recall	Benign	Total Cancers	DCIS	Inv. Ca	Inv. Ca < 15mm	
	Prevalent (A&B)	4072	2450	304	2	3	23	6	17	12
	Incident (C1&C2)	10626	9286	316	2	4	65	16	49	29
All Ages	Early recalls	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Self/GP referrals	0	193	16	0	0	2	0	2	1
	Total	14700	11931	638	4	7	90	22	68	42
	Prevalent (A:50-52 only)	2251	1761	233	0	2	18	4	14	10
	Incident (C1:53-64 only)	6740	6188	206	0	4	38	10	28	15
50-64	Early recalls	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Self/GP referrals	0	78	11	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Total	8992	8028	451	0	6	57	14	43	25
Performance against National Standards								National S	standards	
Routine Screen Women aged 50 - 64				2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	Minir	num	Tar	get
			Prevalent (A)	77.7	80.4	78.2				
Uptake %			Incident (C1)	91	91.0	91.8	>70	)%	80%	
			Overall (A-C2)	79.3	81.5	80.9				
Technical recall/repeats%			Overall	2.06	1.8	2.0	<3%		<2%	
Recall to Assessment %		Prevalent	13.7	9.3	13.2	<10%		<7%		
Recall to Assessment %		Incident	5	3.5	3.3	<7%		<5%		
Early Recall %		Overall	0	0.0	0.00	<1%		<u>≤</u> 0.25%		
Duriy roodin io		Prevalent	1.20	1.7	1.1	<3.6		<1.8		
Denign ope	en biopsy rate per 1000 w	omen	Incident	0.6	0.1	0.6	<2.0		<1.0	
	1000 wemen eeroened		Prevalent	1.8	1.7	2.3	≥0.4		NA	
DCIS per	1000 women screened		Incident	0.8	0.4	1.6	<u>≥</u> 0.5		NA	
Invesive of	neers per 1000 wemen e	oroopod	Prevalent	6.7	5.5	8.0	<u>&gt;</u> 2.7		<u>≥</u> 3.6	
invasive ca	incers per 1000 women s	creened	Incident	4.8	4.8	4.5	≥3.0		≥4.0	
Invasive ca	ancers <15mm per 1000 v	vomen	Prevalent	1.8	3.3	5.7	>1.5		 ≥2.0	
screened			Incident	1.7	3.0	2.4	>1.65		<u>&gt;</u> 2	2.2
Pre-operat	ive diagnosis rate %		Overall	94.3	98.2	93.7	<u>≥</u> 80	)%	≥9	0%
Oberedeedie	ad Datastica Dation laws		Prevalent	1.41	1.2	1.75				
Standardis cancers (a	ed Detection Ratios invas Innual - all sizes)	sive	Incident	1.19	1.2	1.15	<u>≥</u> 1.	00	≥1	1.4
			Overall	1.26	1.2	1.30				
Standardis cancers <	ed Detection Ratios Invas 15mm (3 yr average)	sive	Overall	0.83	1.1	1.17	≥1	.0	≥1	1.4
			Prevalent	1.14	1.2	1.45				
Rolling thre	ee year Standardised Det asive cancers (all sizes)	ection	Incident	1.06	1.2	1.18	≥1	.0	≥1	1.4
			Overall	1.09	1.2	1.25	L			
Round Len	igth <	36 months	Overall	21.1	98.1	93.2	≥90% firs	t offered thin 36	10	0%
	2	38 months	Overall	21.7	98.2	99.5	mon	ths		0 /0
Screening	to Results			96.8	98.2	98.0	<u>≥</u> 90% withi	n 2 weeks	10	0%
Screening	to Assessment			90.8	98.3	98.6	<u>≥</u> 90% withi	n 3 weeks	10	0%

Southern Health & Social Care Trust Screening Service											
KC62 Data 2010/11											
					-						
	Activity Data	Invited	Screened	Assessed	Early Recall	Benign	Total Cancers	DCIS	Inv. Ca	Inv. Ca < 15mm	
	Prevalent (A&B)	4063	2271	144	3	6	15	5	10	6	
	Incident (C1&C2)	8992	7637	227	0	4	54	12	42	22	
All Ages	Early recalls	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Self/GP referrals	0	287	15	1	0	1	0	1	0	
	Total	13057	10197	388	4	10	70	17	53	28	
	Prevalent (A:50-52 only)	2193	1619	99	2	4	9	3	6	3	
	Incident (C1:53-64 only)	5803	5149	140	0	4	28	9	19	8	
50-64	Early recalls	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Self/GP referrals	0	131	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total	7997	6900	247	2	8	37	12	25	11	
Performa	nce against National St	tandards						National S	standards		
Routine S	creen Women aged 50	- 64		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	Minir	num	Tai	get	
			Prevalent (A)	74.4	75.9	73.8					
Uptake %			Incident (C1)	88.5	88.6	88.7	>70%		80	)%	
			Overall (A-C2)	75.6	76.2	76.3					
Technical	recall/repeats%		Overall	1.8	1.6	1.6	<3	%	<2%		
		Prevalent	6.2	5.5	6.1	<10	%	<7%			
Recall to A	Assessment %		Incident	3.1	2.7	2.7	<7%		<	5%	
Early Reca	all %		Overall	0.03	0.1	0.03	<1%		<u>&lt;</u> 0.25%		
			Prevalent	2.5	1.1	2.5	<3	<3.6		1.8	
Benign ope	en biopsy rate per 1000 w	/omen	Incident	0.8	0.5	0.8	<2.0		<1.0		
			Prevalent	2.5	1.7	1.9	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.4	NA		
DCIS per	1000 women screened		Incident	2.0	0.7	1.7	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.5	N	A	
	1000		Prevalent	3.8	5.6	3.7	<u>&gt;</u> 2	.7	<u>≥</u> 3.6		
Invasive ca	incers per 1000 women s	creened	Incident	6.1	5.3	3.7	<u>&gt;</u> 3	.0	≥4.0		
Invasive ca	ancers <15mm per 1000 v	vomen	Prevalent	1.9	2.8	1.9	>1	.5	<u>≥2</u>	2.0	
screened			Incident	2.6	3.3	1.6	>1.	65	≥2	2.2	
Pre-operat	ive diagnosis rate %		Overall	92.9	96.5	86.7	<u>&gt;</u> 80	1%	<u>&gt;</u> 9	0%	
			Prevalent	1.20	1.5	1.25					
Standardis	ed Detection Ratios Invas	sive	Incident	1.51	1.4	0.91	<u>≥</u> 1.	00	≥́	1.4	
cancers (a	innuai - an sizes)		Overall	1.43	1.4	1.00					
Standardis cancers <	ed Detection Ratios Invas 15mm (3 yr average)	sive	Overall	1.51	1.4	1.13	≥1	.0	Ž	1.4	
			Prevalent	1.55	1.7	1.32					
Rolling thre	ee year Standardised Det	ection	Incident	1.56	1.4	1.27	<u>≥</u> 1	.0	≥′	1.4	
rtatios inva	asive calicers (all Sizes)		Overall	1.56	1.5	1.29					
Round Len	igth <	36 months	Overall	91.1	98.2	67.8	<u>≥</u> 90% firs	t offered	40	00/	
	<u> </u>	38 months	Overall	98.2	98.5	99.3	appts wi mon	thin 36 ths	10	00%	
Screening	to Results - (Date of scre	en)		95.4	97.6	97.0	<u>≥</u> 90% withi	n 2 weeks	10	0%	
Screening	to Assessment (DoFOA)			91.7	96.7	97.7	≥90% withi	n 3 weeks	10	0%	

Western Health & Social Care Trust Breast Screening Service											
KC62 Data 2010/11											
	Activity Data	Invited	Screened	Assessed	Early Recall	Benign	Total Cancers	DCIS	Inv. Ca	Inv. Ca < 15mm	
	Prevalent (A&B)	3172	1727	86	0	2	13	3	10	6	
	Incident (C1&C2)	7447	6084	125	2	1	37	8	29	19	
All Ages	Early recalls	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	
	Self/GP referrals	0	279	12	0	1	4	2	2	0	
	Total	10620	8091	224	2	4	55	13	42	26	
	Prevalent (A:50-52 only)	1756	1270	71	0	2	9	2	7	4	
50-64	Incident (C1:53-64 only)	4842	4209	77	2	0	18	2	16	9	
	Early recalls	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	
	Self/GP referrals	0	171	7	0	1	4	2	2	0	
	Total	6599	5651	156	2	3	32	6	26	14	
Performa	nce against National St	andards						National S	standards		
Routine S	Screen Women aged 50	- 64		2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	Minin	num	Tar	get	
			Prevalent (A)	76.6	74.3	72.3					
Uptake %			Incident (C1)	90.1	89.0	86.9	>70% 80%		80%		
			Overall (A-C2)	79.2	76.4	73.8					
Technical	recall/repeats%		Overall	1.1	1.3	0.4	<3	%	<2%		
			Prevalent	4.2	5.4	5.6	<10	%	<7%		
Recall to A	Assessment %		Incident	1.9	2.2	1.8	<7%		<5	%	
Early Reca	all %		Overall	0.04	0.01	0.04	<1%		<u>&lt;</u> 0.25%		
Design			Prevalent	3.0	1.4	1.6	<3.6		<	.8	
Benign ope	en biopsy rate per 1000 w	omen	Incident	0.0	0.3	0.0	<2.0		<1.0		
	1000		Prevalent	1.2	2.3	1.6	<u>≥</u> 0.	4	NA		
DCIS per	1000 women screened		Incident	1.8	1.8	0.5	<u>&gt;</u> 0	5	NA		
Investus es		araanad	Prevalent	3.6	6.3	5.5	<u>&gt;</u> 2	.7	≥3.6		
invasive ca	incers per 1000 women s	creened	Incident	5.7	4.0	3.8	<u>&gt;</u> 3	0	<u>≥</u> 4.0		
Invasive ca	ancers <15mm per 1000 v	vomen	Prevalent	1.8	2.3	3.1	>1	.5	<u>≥</u> 2	2.0	
screened			Incident	3.1	2.2	2.1	>1.	65	<u>&gt;</u> 2	2.2	
Pre-operat	ive diagnosis rate %		Overall	96.6	95.5	100.0	<u>&gt;</u> 80	%	<u>≥</u> 9	0%	
			Prevalent	0.89	1.64	1.37					
Standardis	ed Detection Ratios Invas	sive	Incident	1.39	0.98	0.93	<u>≥</u> 1.	00	≥1	.4	
cuncers (u	an 51265)		Overall	1.27	1.15	1.04					
Standardis cancers <	ed Detection Ratios Invas 15mm (3 yr average)	sive	Overall	1.23	1.16	1.08	≥1	.0	≥î	1.4	
			Prevalent	1.16	1.36	1.33					
Rolling thre	ee year Standardised Det	ection	Incident	1.22	1.10	1.11	<u>≥</u> 1.	0	≥1	.4	
rtatios IIIVa	solve cancers (all Sizes)		Overall	1.21	1.16	1.17					
Round Len	ngth <	36 months	Overall	80.0	91.1	99.1	≥90% firs	t offered	10	1%	
	≤	38 months	Overall	92.8	98.4	99.3	appts wi	ths		0 /0	
Screening	to Results - (Date of scre	en)		30.4	90.6	98.0	$\geq$ 90% withi	n 2 weeks	10	0%	
Screening	to Assessment (DoFOA)			55.0	85.0	90.6	$\geq$ 90% withi	n 3 weeks	10	0%	

### **APPENDIX 4**

### KC 62 Data 2009/10 for women aged 50-70

		No	Northern Ireland Breast Screening Service									
				KC62 D	ata 20	10/11						
	Activity Data	Invited	Screened	Assessed	Early Recall	Benign	Total Cancers	DCIS	Inv. Ca	Inv. Ca < 15mm		
	Prevalent (A&B)	17894	9908	857	17	16	83	21	62	37		
	Incident (C1&C2)	41947	35471	1050	16	15	254	56	197	111		
All Ages	Early recalls	19	19	19	0	0	3	1	2	2		
	Self/GP referrals	0	1445	85	1	1	18	6	12	6		
	Total	59860	46843	2011	34	32	358	84	273	156		
	Prevalent (A:50-52 only)	9618	7172	637	13	11	61	15	46	27		
50-70	Incident (C1:53-70 only)	33163	29684	799	7	14	184	40	143	83		
	Early recalls	18	18	18	0	0	3	1	2	2		
	Self/GP referrals	0	948	61	1	1	12	5	7	1		
	Total	42799	37822	1515	21	26	260	61	198	113		
Performa	nce against National St	andards						National S	Standards			
Routine S	Screen Women aged 50	- 70			2009/10	2010/11	Minir	num	Tai	get		
			Prevalent (A)		73.9	74.6						
Uptake %			Incident (C1)		88.6	89.5	>70%		80%			
			Overall (A-C2)		75.4	75.8						
Technical	ical recall/repeats%		Overall		1.9	1.5	<3%		<2%			
Decellar /	A + 0/		Prevalent		7.6	8.9	<10	)%	<7%			
Recall to A	Assessment %		Incident		2.5	2.7	<7	%	<5	5%		
Early Reca	all %		Overall		0.04	0.05	<1%		<u>≤</u> 0.25%			
Panian an	en hieneu rete ner 1000 u		Prevalent		1.5	1.5	<3	<3.6 <1.		1.8		
Benign op	en biopsy rate per 1000 w	omen	Incident		0.3	0.5	<2.0		<1.0			
	1000 women eeroened		Prevalent		1.7	2.1	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.4	N	A		
DCIO per	1000 women screened		Incident		1.1	1.3	<u>≥</u> 0	.5	N	A		
Invacivo, co	ancore por 1000 womon or	crooped	Prevalent		5.8	6.4	<u>≥</u> 2	.7	≥`	3.6		
invasive ca	ancers per 1000 women s	creeneu	Incident		4.8	4.8	<u>≥</u> 3	.0	<u>≥</u> 4.0			
Invasive ca	ancers <15mm per 1000 v	vomen	Prevalent		2.7	3.8	>1	.5	<u>≥</u> 2	2.0		
screened			Incident		2.9	2.8	>1.	65	<u>≥</u> 2	2.2		
Pre-operat	ive diagnosis rate %		Overall		95.9	95.0	<u>≥</u> 80	)%	<u>&gt;</u> 9	0%		
			Prevalent		1.50	1.60						
Standardis	sed Detection Ratios Invas Innual - all sizes)	sive	Incident		1.17	1.16	<u>≥</u> 1.	00	≥′	1.4		
			Overall		1.24	1.26						
Standardis cancers <	sed Detection Ratios Invas 15mm (3 yr average)	sive	Overall		1.26	1.27	≥1	.0	≥`	1.4		
			Prevalent		1.44	1.47						
Rolling the	ee year Standardised Det asive cancers (all sizes)	ection	Incident		1.26	1.26	≥1	.0	≥′	1.4		
	aono ounoora (un alzea)		Overall		1.31	1.31						
Round Ler	ngth <u>&lt;</u> 3	36 months	Overall		85.3	81.2	<u>≥</u> 90% firs	t offered				
	<u>&lt;</u>	38 months	Overall		88.1	89.4	appts wi mon	thin 36 ths	10	υ%		
Screening	to Results - (Date of scre	en)			96.6	98.0	<u>≥</u> 90% withi	n 2 weeks	10	0%		
Screening	to Assessment (DoFOA)				94.4	96.9	≥90% withi	n 3 weeks	10	0%		

Belfast Health & Social Care Trust Breast Screening Service											
KC62 Data 2010/11											
	Activity Data	Invited	Screened	Assessed	Early Recall	Benign	Total Cancers	DCIS	Inv. Ca	Inv. Ca < 15mm	
	Prevalent (A&B)	6587	3460	323	12	5	32	7	25	13	
	Incident (C1&C2)	14882	12464	382	12	6	98	20	77	41	
All Ages	Early recalls	14	14	14	0	0	2	1	1	1	
	Self/GP referrals	0	686	42	0	0	11	4	7	5	
	Total	21483	16624	761	24	11	143	32	110	60	
	Prevalent (A:50-52 only)	3418	2522	234	11	3	25	6	19	10	
	Incident (C1:53-70 only)	11586	10354	275	4	6	66	13	52	28	
50-70	Early recalls	14	14	14	0	0	2	1	1	1	
	Self/GP referrals	0	452	31	0	0	6	3	3	1	
	Total	15018	13342	554	15	9	99	23	75	40	
Performa	nce against National St	andards						National S	Standards		
Routine S	Screen Women aged 50	- 70	_		2009/10	2010/11	Minir	num	Tai	rget	
			Prevalent (A)		68.9	73.8					
Uptake %		Incident (C1)		86.7	89.4	>70%		80%			
			Overall (A-C2)		69.2	74.2					
Technical	recall/repeats%		Overall		2.7	1.5	<3	%	<2%		
Decell to Access ment 9/			Prevalent		9.6	9.3	<10	)%	<7%		
Recall to A	Assessment 70		Incident		1.9	2.7	<7%		<	5%	
Early Reca	all %		Overall		0.1	0.12	<1	%	<u>&lt;</u> 0.2	25%	
Bonian on	on bioney rate per 1000 w	omon	Prevalent		1.8	1.2	<3	.6	<1		
Denigir op	en blopsy fate per 1000 w	omen	Incident		0.3	0.6	<2	.0	<	1.0	
	1000 women screened		Prevalent		1.4	2.4	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.4	N	IA	
DOIS per	Tooo women screened		Incident		0.9	1.3	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.5	N	A	
Invasive ca	ancers per 1000 women s	creened	Prevalent		5.7	7.5	<u>≥</u> 2	.7	≥	3.6	
invasive ca	ancers per 1000 women s	creened	Incident		4.7	5.0	<u>≥</u> 3	.0	≥4	4.0	
Invasive ca	ancers <15mm per 1000 v	vomen	Prevalent		2.5	4.0	>1	.5	≥ź	2.0	
screened			Incident		2.7	2.7	>1.	65	≥ź	2.2	
Pre-operat	ive diagnosis rate %		Overall		94.4	96.4	<u>&gt;</u> 80	)%	<u>≥</u> 9	0%	
Standardia	ad Detection Dation Inves		Prevalent		1.7	1.86					
cancers (a	innual - all sizes)	sive	Incident		1.1	1.23	<u>≥</u> 1.	00	≥′	1.4	
	,		Overall		1.2	1.37					
Standardis cancers <	ed Detection Ratios Invas 15mm (3 yr average)	sive	Overall		1.3	1.33	≥1	.0	≥′	1.4	
	0		Prevalent		1.5	1.64					
Ratios Inva	ee year Standardised Det asive cancers (all sizes)	ection	Incident		1.3	1.31	<u>≥</u> 1	.0	≥′	1.4	
			Overall		1.4	1.40					
Round Len	ngth <u>&lt;</u>	36 months	Overall		83.9	87.5	≥90% firs	t offered ithin 36	10	0%	
	≤	38 months	Overall		86.8	87.8	mon	ths			
Screening	to Results - (Date of scre	en)			99.3	99.0	≥90% withi	n 2 weeks	10	0%	
Screening	to Assessment (DoFOA)				95.3	96.9	≥90% withi	n 3 weeks	10	0%	

Northern Health & Social Care Trust Screening Service												
	KC62 Data 2010/11											
	Activity Data	Invited	Screened	Assessed	Early Recall	Benign	Total Cancers	DCIS	Inv. Ca	Inv. Ca < 15mm		
	Prevalent (A&B)	4072	2450	304	2	3	23	6	17	12		
	Incident (C1&C2)	10626	9286	316	2	4	65	16	49	29		
All Ages	Early recalls	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Self/GP referrals	0	193	16	0	0	2	0	2	1		
	Total	14700	11931	638	4	7	90	22	68	42		
	Prevalent (A:50-52 only)	2251	1761	233	0	2	18	4	14	10		
	Incident (C1:53-70 only)	8502	7800	256	1	4	54	12	42	26		
50-70	Early recalls	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Self/GP referrals	0	99	12	0	0	1	0	1	0		
	Total	10755	9662	503	1	6	73	16	57	36		
Performa	nce against National St	andards				1		National S	Standards			
Routine S	Screen Women aged 50	- 70			2009/10	2010/11	Minir	num	Tar	get		
			Prevalent (A)		80.4	78.2						
Uptake %			Incident (C1)		90.8	91.7	>70%		80%			
			Overall (A-C2)		80.8	79.8			00 /0			
Technical	recall/repeats%		Overall		1.8	2.0	<3	%	<2	2%		
-			Prevalent		9.3	13.2	<10	)%	<7%			
Recall to A	Assessment %		Incident		3.5	3.3	<7%		<5	5%		
Early Rec	all %		Overall		0.0	0.01	<1%		<u>&lt;</u> 0.25%			
<u> </u>			Prevalent		1.7	1.1	<3.6		<1.8			
Benign op	en biopsy rate per 1000 w	/omen	Incident		0.1	0.5	<2.0		<1.0			
0.010	4000		Prevalent		1.7	2.3	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.4	N	A		
DCIS per	1000 women screened		Incident		0.8	1.5	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.5	N	A		
	1000		Prevalent		5.5	8.0	<u>&gt;</u> 2	≥0.4 NA ≥0.5 NA ≥2.7 ≥3.6		3.6		
invasive ca	ancers per 1000 women s	creened	Incident		5.1	5.4	<u>&gt;</u> 3	.0	<u>&gt;</u> 4	1.0		
Invasive ca	ancers <15mm per 1000 v	vomen	Prevalent		3.3	5.7	>1	.5	≥2	2.0		
screened			Incident		3.2	3.3	>1.	65	≥2	2.2		
Pre-operat	tive diagnosis rate %		Overall		98.8	94.2	<u>&gt;</u> 80	)%	<u>≥</u> 9	0%		
			Prevalent		1.2	1.65						
Standardis	sed Detection Ratios Invas	sive	Incident		1.2	1.32	<u>≥</u> 1.	00	≥1	1.4		
cancers (a	annual - an sizes)		Overall		1.2	1.39						
Standardis cancers <	sed Detection Ratios Invas 15mm (3 yr average)	sive	Overall		1.1	1.29	<u>≥</u> 1	.0	≥1	1.4		
			Prevalent		1.2	1.40						
Rolling thr	ee year Standardised Det asiya cancers (all sizes)	ection	Incident		1.2	1.25	≥1	.0	≥1	1.4		
rtatios inve	asive calicers (all sizes)		Overall		1.2	1.28						
Round Ler	ngth <u>&lt;</u>	36 months	Overall		89.2	84.1	<u>≥</u> 90% firs	t offered				
	<u> </u>	38 months	Overall		89.3	90.3	appts wi mon	ithin 36 ths	10	0%		
Screening	to Results				98.2	98.0	<u>≥</u> 90% withi	n 2 weeks	10	0%		
Screening	to Assessment				98.3	98.6	<u>≥</u> 90% withi	n 3 weeks	10	0%		

Southern Health & Social Care Trust Screening Service											
KC62 Data 2010/11											
	Activity Data	Invited	Screened	Assessed	Early Recall	Benign	Total Cancers	DCIS	Inv. Ca	Inv. Ca < 15mm	
	Prevalent (A&B)	4063	2271	144	3	6	15	5	10	6	
	Incident (C1&C2)	8992	7637	227	0	4	54	12	42	22	
All Ages	Early recalls	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Self/GP referrals	0	287	15	1	0	1	0	1	0	
	Total	13057	10197	388	4	10	70	17	53	28	
	Prevalent (A:50-52 only)	2193	1619	99	2	4	9	3	6	3	
50-70	Incident (C1:53-70 only)	7153	6375	172	0	4	38	11	27	14	
	Early recalls	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Self/GP referrals	0	177	9	1	0	1	0	1	0	
	Total	9347	8172	281	3	8	48	14	34	17	
Performa	nce against National St	andards						National S	standards		
Routine S	icreen Women aged 50	- 70			2009/10	2010/11	Minir	num	Tar	get	
			Prevalent (A)		75.9	73.8					
Uptake %			Incident (C1)		88.7	89.1	>70%		80%		
			Overall (A-C2)		75.3	76.1					
Technical	hnical recall/repeats%		Overall		1.6	1.6	<3%		<2%		
Recall to A	Assessment %		Prevalent		5.5	6.1	<10	1%	<7%		
Treedin to 7	Cocontent //		Incident		2.7	2.7	<7%		<	%	
Early Reca	all %		Overall		0.0	0.03	<1%		<u>&lt;</u> 0.25%		
Benjan on	en hionsv rate ner 1000 w	omen	Prevalent		1.1	2.5	<3.6		<1.8		
Denight op	en biopsy face per 1000 w	omen	Incident		0.4	0.6	<2.0		<1.0		
DCIS ner	1000 women screened		Prevalent		1.7	1.9	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.4	NA		
Doio per	Tobo women screened		Incident		1.3	1.7	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.5	NA		
Invasive ca	ancers per 1000 women s	creened	Prevalent		5.6	3.7	<u>&gt;</u> 2	.7	≥	≥3.6	
Invasive ca	incers per 1000 women s	creened	Incident		5.4	4.2	<u>&gt;</u> 3	.0	<u>≥</u> 4.0		
Invasive ca	ancers <15mm per 1000 v	vomen	Prevalent		2.8	1.9	>1	.5	<u>≥2</u>	2.0	
screened			Incident		3.5	2.2	>1.	65	<u>≥</u> 2	2.2	
Pre-operat	ive diagnosis rate %		Overall		95.3	89.7	<u>&gt;</u> 80	)%	<u>&gt;</u> 9	0%	
Ctandardia	ad Detection Detice Inves	-	Prevalent		1.5	1.18					
cancers (a	innual - all sizes)	sive	Incident		1.4	1.02	<u>≥</u> 1.	00	≥1	.4	
`	,		Overall		1.4	1.06					
Standardis cancers <	ed Detection Ratios Invas 15mm (3 yr average)	sive	Overall		1.4	1.20	≥1	.0	≥1	1.4	
	0. I F I D .		Prevalent		1.7	1.31					
Ratios Inva	ee year Standardised Det asive cancers (all sizes)	ection	Incident		1.4	1.30	≥1	.0	≥1	.4	
			Overall		1.5	1.31					
Round Len	ngth <u>&lt;</u>	36 months	Overall		87.5	58.5	≥90% firs	t offered thin 36	10	1%	
	<u>&lt;</u>	38 months	Overall		88.1	88.6	mon	ths	10		
Screening	to Results - (Date of scre	en)			97.6	97.0	<u>≥</u> 90% withi	n 2 weeks	10	0%	
Screening	to Assessment (DoFOA)				96.7	97.7	≥90% withi	n 3 weeks	10	0%	

Western Health & Social Care Trust Breast Screening Service												
	KC62 Data 2010/11											
	Activity Data	Invited	Screened	Assessed	Early	Benian	Total	DCIS	Inv. Ca	Inv. Ca		
	Prevalent (A&B)	3172	1727	86	Recall	2	Cancers 13	3	10	< 15mm		
	Incident (C18 C2)	7447	6084	125	2	2	37	2	20	10		
	Farly recelle	1441	1	120	2	0	37	0	23	13		
All Ages	Cally recails	0	270	12	0	1	1	2	2	0		
	Tetel	10620	219	12	2	4	4	42	42	26		
	Total	10020	4070	74	2	4		15	42	20		
	Prevalent (A:50-52 only)	1/56	1270	71	0	2	9	2	7	4		
50-70	Incident (C1:53-70 only)	5922	5155	96	2	0	26	4	22	15		
	Early recalls	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1		
	Self/GP referrals	0	220	9	0	1	4	2	2	0		
	lotal	7679	6646	1//	2	3	40	8	32	20		
Performa	nce against National St	tandards						National S	Standards			
Routine S	creen Women aged 50	- 70			2009/10	2010/11	Minir	num	Tai	get		
			Prevalent (A)		74.3	72.3						
Uptake %			Incident (C1)		88.8	87.0	>70%		80%			
			Overall (A-C2)		75.5	73.6						
Technical	recall/repeats%		Overall		1.3	0.4	<3	%	<2	2%		
Pocall to /	\ccoccmont %		Prevalent		5.4	5.6	<10	)%	<7%			
Recall to A	ASSESSMENT /0		Incident		2.1	1.9	<7	%	<5	5%		
Early Reca	all %		Overall		0.02	0.03	<1	%	<u>&lt;</u> 0.2	25%		
Panian an	an hianau sata nas 1000 u		Prevalent		1.4	1.6	<3.6		<'	1.8		
Denign op	en biopsy rate per 1000 %	omen	Incident		0.2	0.0	<2	.0	<'	1.0		
	1000 wemen eeroened		Prevalent		2.3	1.6	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.4	N	A		
DCIS per	1000 women screened		Incident		1.6	0.8	<u>&gt;</u> 0	.5	N	A		
	1000		Prevalent		6.3	5.5	<u>≥</u> 2	.7	NA NA ≥3.6			
invasive ca	ancers per 1000 women s	creened	Incident		4.2	4.3	<u>&gt;</u> 3	.0	≥4	4.0		
Invasive ca	ancers <15mm per 1000 v	vomen	Prevalent		2.3	3.1	>1	.5	≥ź	2.0		
screened			Incident		2.5	2.9	>1.	65	≥ź	2.2		
Pre-operat	ive diagnosis rate %		Overall		95.9	100.0	<u>&gt;</u> 80	)%	<u>&gt;</u> 9	0%		
			Prevalent		1.55	1.54						
Standardis	ed Detection Ratios Invas	sive	Incident		1.02	1.02	<u>≥</u> 1.	00	≥′	1.4		
cancers (a	innual - an sizes)		Overall		1.14	1.14						
Standardis cancers <	eed Detection Ratios Invas 15mm (3 yr average)	sive	Overall		1.17	1.18	≥1	.0	≥′	1.4		
			Prevalent		1.32	1.34						
Rolling the	ee year Standardised Det	ection	Incident		1.13	1.16	≥1	.0	≥′	1.4		
rtatios inva	asive cancers (all sizes)		Overall		1.18	1.20						
Round Len	ngth <u>&lt;</u>	36 months	Overall		81.7	91.9	≥90% firs	t offered				
	 	38 months	Overall		88.8	92.0	appts wi mon	ithin 36 ths	10	0%		
Screening	to Results - (Date of scre	en)			90.6	98.0	≥90% withi	n 2 weeks	10	0%		
Screening	to Assessment (DoFOA)				85.0	90.6	<u>≥</u> 90% withi	n 3 weeks	10	0%		